

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/537,184	BHATIA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tri V. Nguyen	1751	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Tri V. Nguyen.

(3) _____.

(2) Alan Bornstein.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2006

Time: 11AM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(b)

Claims discussed:

13,15-18

Prior art documents discussed:

Mooney (US 5,965,517)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An authorization for an examiner's amendment was granted by the Applicant's Representative to cancel claims 15-18 and amend claim 13. Details of the amendment are attached herewith.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)